

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ALONZO REED,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1822 LKK DAD P

12 vs.

13 S. PETERSON, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

19 On May 31, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20 which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the
21 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has filed objections
22 to the findings and recommendations.

23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
26 proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 31, 2005, are adopted in full;

3 2. Plaintiff's due process, defamation, slander, and libel claims are dismissed;

4 and

5 3. Plaintiff's April 27, 2005 motion for temporary restraining order and
6 preliminary injunction is denied.

7 DATED: August 15, 2005.

8
9 /s/Lawrence K. Karlton
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11 /reed1822.804a
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26